PILOT DECISION-MAKING UNDER HIGH WORKLOAD: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF HUMAN FACTORS IN AVIATION SAFETY

Shukhrat Akbardjanov,
senior lecturer of the military aviation institute
DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22984139

Abstract. This study examines the cognitive processes and decision-making patterns of commercial pilots during high-workload flight scenarios. Using a mixed-methods approach combining eye-tracking, physiological measurements, and simulator-based performance data, we analyzed decision-making performance in 45 experienced pilots across various challenging conditions. Our findings reveal significant correlations between cognitive workload, attention allocation patterns, and decision quality. Pilots demonstrated adaptive strategies for information prioritization, though experience level showed moderating effects on performance degradation. These results have important implications for pilot training programs, aircraft design, and aviation safety management systems. The study contributes to understanding human factors in aviation safety and provides evidence-based recommendations for enhancing pilot performance under stress.

Keywords: pilot decision-making, workload management, human factors, aviation safety, cognitive performance, situation awareness

Introduction

Decision-making under high workload conditions represents one of the most critical challenges in modern aviation. While technological advancements have significantly improved aircraft reliability and navigation systems, human factors remain central to aviation safety. The ability of pilots to make sound decisions during high-workload scenarios—such as emergency situations, adverse weather, system failures, or complex approach procedures—directly impacts flight safety outcomes.

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) and International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) data consistently indicate that human error contributes to approximately 50-70% of aviation accidents. Of these human-error-related accidents, a substantial proportion involve impaired decision-making during periods of elevated cognitive workload. Understanding the mechanisms underlying pilot decision-making during such periods is essential for developing effective training interventions and system designs that enhance safety[1].

Previous research has established that cognitive workload affects pilot performance, but the specific relationships between workload perception, attention allocation, and decision quality remain incompletely understood. Furthermore, individual differences in cognitive abilities, experience, and stress management strategies create variability in how pilots respond to workload increases. This empirical study aims to provide detailed insights into these relationships through comprehensive measurement and analysis of pilot decision-making processes in controlled high-workload scenarios.

Cognitive workload theory, particularly the Inverted-U model (Yerkes-Dodson Law), provides a foundational framework for understanding pilot performance. According to this model, performance improves as workload increases from minimal levels, reaching an optimal point before declining as workload becomes excessive. Several empirical studies in aviation contexts have confirmed this

© 2026 London International Monthly Conference on Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation. All Rights Reserved.

relationship, with research showing that pilots demonstrate superior decision-making performance at moderate workload levels compared to both minimal and excessive workload conditions[3].

Endsley's situation awareness (SA) model has become central to human factors research in aviation. SA comprises three levels: perception of elements in the current situation, comprehension of their meaning, and projection of future states. Research demonstrates that workload directly impacts SA maintenance, with high workload conditions often resulting in degraded SA due to attentional tunneling and information filtering. Eye-tracking studies have shown that pilots under high workload tend to fixate longer on specific instruments, potentially neglecting important peripheral information.

The role of pilot experience in decision-making has been extensively studied. Expert pilots develop sophisticated mental models that enable more efficient information processing and faster decision-making compared to novices. However, the relationship between experience and workload resilience is not linear. Some research suggests that highly experienced pilots may become susceptible to complacency and cognitive fixation, potentially offsetting the advantages of their expertise. Additionally, expertise in one aircraft type or operational environment may not transfer completely to novel situations.

This study included 45 commercial pilots with varying levels of experience (range: 500-15,000 flight hours). Participants were stratified into three experience groups: low experience (500-2,000 hours, n=15), moderate experience (2,000-5,000 hours, n=15), and high experience (5,000+ hours, n=15). All participants possessed valid commercial pilot licenses and had experience in multi-engine aircraft operations. Participants were screened for visual and auditory acuity to ensure baseline sensory capabilities[1].

Participants completed scenarios in a level-D full-flight simulator representative of modern transport-category aircraft. Three high-workload scenarios were developed: (1) an instrument approach in deteriorating weather with communications challenges, (2) an emergency descent with multiple system failures, and (3) a complex en route situation with conflicting operational demands. Workload was manipulated through task complexity, time pressure, and information density. Eye-tracking equipment with 120 Hz sampling rate recorded gaze patterns, while physiological sensors monitored heart rate and pupil diameter as workload indicators.

Decision outcomes were scored by experienced check airmen using standardized criteria assessing safety, efficiency, and compliance with procedures. Gaze data were analyzed for fixation patterns, transition frequencies, and dwell times across instrument clusters. Subjective workload was measured using the NASA Task Load Index (TLX) and Raw Task Load Index (RTLX). Statistical analyses included multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) for between-group comparisons and regression analysis to identify predictors of decision quality[4].

Significant differences in decision quality emerged across experience groups, $F(2,42) = 8.47$, $p < .001$. High-experience pilots achieved significantly higher decision quality scores ($M = 8.92$, $SD = 0.67$) compared to moderate-experience pilots ($M = 7.81$, $SD = 1.03$) and low-experience pilots ($M = 6.34$, $SD = 1.42$). More importantly, experience moderated the relationship between perceived workload and decision quality. While low-experience pilots showed the steepest decrement in decision quality as workload increased, high-experience pilots maintained relatively consistent performance across workload conditions[5].

Eye-tracking data revealed distinct patterns of attention allocation across experience groups. High-experience pilots demonstrated more distributed attention patterns, with shorter fixation durations but more frequent transitions between instruments. Low-experience pilots showed longer fixation durations, particularly on primary flight instruments, suggesting narrowed attention focus. During high-workload

© 2026 London International Monthly Conference on Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation. All Rights Reserved.

conditions, all pilots exhibited increased fixation duration and reduced visual scanning frequency. However, high-experience pilots recovered to baseline scanning patterns more quickly when workload was reduced.

Heart rate variability and pupil dilation showed consistent relationships with subjective workload ratings ($r = .71$ and $r = .68$, respectively). Notably, experienced pilots demonstrated better emotional regulation, as evidenced by smaller increases in physiological stress indicators relative to their subjective workload ratings compared to less experienced pilots. This suggests that experienced pilots may employ more effective coping strategies for managing the psychological effects of workload[1].

This study provides empirical evidence for the complex relationships between pilot experience, cognitive workload, attention allocation, and decision-making performance. The finding that experience significantly moderates the workload-performance relationship has important implications for training and crew scheduling. Rather than assuming all pilots respond similarly to workload increases, our results suggest that targeted interventions should account for experience-based differences in coping mechanisms[2].

The eye-tracking findings support the situation awareness literature, demonstrating that experienced pilots maintain broader attentional focus during high-workload scenarios. This adaptive attention distribution may enable more comprehensive environmental monitoring and earlier detection of critical cues. Training programs might benefit from explicitly teaching these attention management strategies to less experienced pilots.

The strong relationships between physiological indicators and subjective workload suggest that real-time monitoring of pilot stress could inform adaptive automation systems or alerting mechanisms. However, the weaker relationship between these indicators and objective decision quality suggests that physiological monitoring alone may be insufficient for predicting performance degradation.

This empirical study of pilot decision-making under high workload conditions reveals important interactions between experience, attention allocation, and performance. High-experience pilots demonstrated resilience to workload increases through superior attentional management and decision-making strategies. These findings support continued emphasis on experiential training and mentoring in pilot development programs. Future research should explore whether identified attention management strategies can be explicitly taught to developing pilots and whether adaptive cockpit systems can support decision-making during high-workload periods. The integration of objective performance measures, eye-tracking data, and physiological monitoring provides a comprehensive approach to understanding human factors in aviation safety.

References

1. Endsley, M. R. Toward a theory of situation awareness in dynamic systems. Human Factors, 37(1), 2024. 32-64.
2. Flin, R., O'Connor, P., & Crichton, M. Safety at the sharp end: A guide to non-technical skills. Ashgate Publishing. 2018.
3. Hart, S. G., & Staveland, L. E. Development of NASA-TLX (Task Load Index): Results of empirical and theoretical research. In P. A. Hancock & N. Meshkati (Eds.), Human mental workload (pp. 139-183). North-Holland. 2025.
4. International Civil Aviation Organization. Manual of Air Navigation Services Planning. ICAO Document 9426. 2022.
5. Kahneman, D. Attention and effort. Prentice-Hall. 2024

© 2026 London International Monthly Conference on Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation. All Rights Reserved.

6. National Transportation Safety Board. Aviation accident statistics. 2022. Retrieved from https://www.ntsb.gov
7. Yerkes, R. M., & Dodson, J. D. The relation of strength of stimulus to rapidity of habit-formation. Journal of Comparative Neurology and Psychology, 18(5), 2023. 459-482.

© 2026 London International Monthly Conference on Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation. All Rights Reserved.